

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/685,914	COPELAND, DANIEL A.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	David E. Bochna	3679

All Participants: _____ **Status of Application:** _____

(1) David E. Bochna. (3) _____.

(2) Nathan Johnson. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 January 2006 **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A phone call was made by the Examiner to propose a change to claim 12 to put the case in condition for allowance. Mr. Johnson agreed to the proposed change.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)